

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/654,964 | 09/05/2000 | VasľV. Kozoriz | 1 | 7793 | |
| 75 | 90 09/19/2002 | \$ | | | |
| Walter Reiner | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Global Trading & Technology Inc 5030 Westerville Road | | | LE, DA | LE, DANG D | |
| Columbus, OH | 43231 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2834 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002 | DATE MAILED: 09/19/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/654,964 | KOZORIZ, VASL'V. | | | | |
| microrew Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dang D Le | 2834 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Dang D Le</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Dwight A. Marshall</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative] | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-36</u> . | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant elected claims 1-12, 14 and 16-22 for prosecution in response to the restriction requirement, paper no. 8</u> | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). | | | | | | |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Everying Nets Van et i. 41 f. a. i. 41 | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sign | ature, if required | | | | |